

Transcript: May 7, 2020 Planning Board Meeting

Robert Ridler: All right, I think we should start, and I'll begin by reading this announcement. Welcome to the May 7, 2020 meeting of the Town of Cazenovia Planning Board, which has been legally noticed in the Cazenovia Republican, on the Town website, and outside the Town offices. This meeting is a virtual meeting as authorized by New York Executive Order 202.1. This meeting is being recorded and will be made available on the Town's website. Again, I want to remind you the meeting is being recorded. Wait till you've been called on to speak and when you have the opportunity to speak, speak slowly and clearly. The public may be participating. When the public speaking is allowed speakers are asked to please state their name and address for audio recording. Otherwise, the public is asked to remain silent during the proceedings. Attendance will be taken, and votes will be conducted by a roll call vote. When possible the board members and applicants will be named while speaking for audio recording purposes. Please note the output of transcribing from an audio/video recording from Zoom will be fairly accurate, although in some cases will be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to understanding the proceedings at the meeting. If you should need clarification (for) something, please contact the Planning Board Secretary. All right, so we'll begin the meeting. Sue, please call the roll.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Here.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Here.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Here.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Here. Did you hear that? Here.

Sue Wightman: Yes. Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Here.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Here.

Sue Wightman: Thomas Clarke?

Thomas Clarke: Here.

Sue Wightman: Gerald Rasmussen? (Joined at 7:35) Jon Vanderhoef?

Jon Vanderhoef: Here.

Robert Ridler: All right, I would like to have a motion to approve the March 5th, 2020 meeting minutes. Anne, Jerry, and Tom were not present so they will not be voting on this motion. Do I have a motion to approve the minutes?

Bryan Wendel: Motion to approve the minutes. This is Bryan.

Robert Ridler: Do I have a second? Dale, do I have a second to approve the minutes?

Dale Bowers: If I must.

Robert Ridler: All right, Sue, please call the roll.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: You are calling the roll to vote?

Robert Ridler: To approve the minutes of ...

Hugh Roszel: I wasn't here on Wednesday the 5th, so I cannot vote. I abstain. (Let the record show that Mr. Roszel was present Thursday March 5th.).

Sue Wightman: Gerry Rasmussen?

Sue Wightman: John Vanderhoef?

Jon Vanderhoef: Yes.

Robert Ridler: Alright, the next regularly scheduled meeting will be held Thursday, June 4th. The next regularly scheduled deadline day will be Wednesday, May 20th, and the next regularly scheduled work session will be Thursday, May 28.

Robert Ridler: All right, we will go to the files. The first file is # 1268, John E Libby Living Trust. Hugh that's your file.

Hugh Roszel: Is anyone here representing the file?

Hugh Roszel: Is anyone here, Chip Pratt?

Hugh Roszel: If no one is here to represent the file, can we open the public hearing?

Sue Wightman: Hugh, Chip Pratt is here. He needs to unmute his audio.

Hugh Roszel: Okay.

Sue Wightman: He's speaking. Chip, we can't hear you.

Anne Ferguson: You see the little microphone on the bottom left.

Sue Wightman: Okay. Try it now, Chip.

Norval (Chip) Pratt: I got it now. How's that?

Sue Wightman: That's better. Yes.

Norval (Chip) Pratt: Okay. That's what I got, okay. Yes. We, I don't know where you want to start, propose this movement of a line about a little over a hundred feet going north of the project which is on Bass Road. That gave us 12.8 acres to... We went on the north side of a hedgerow so that we could always keep the hedgerow which is in the deed that says we can not - we can't ever get rid of the hedgerow, which we don't want to because it gives us a nice privacy. The other thing on the deed states, we are - can never have it subdivided, which is fine because we didn't want to do that anyways. So, our proposal is to just move that line and we got rid of the lines that were put in originally by my father-in-law for two houses on that property. So, we're - there will be no longer any subdivision or other houses except possibly ours in the near future.

Hugh Roszel: Mr. Pratt, how many acres are you going to put on your Lot #3? What does that become?

Norval (Chip) Pratt: I have no idea what that is or why that's there. It's just ...

John Langey: Did we lose him?

Hugh Roszel: Yeah. Well we lost his audio.

Anne Ferguson: You got muted.

Hugh Roszel: Mr. Pratt, we can't hear you.

John Langey: He's back.

Hugh Roszel: Approximately 12.88 acres?

Norval (Chip) Pratt: Total, that's the whole lot. I have no idea what lot #5 is.

Norval (Chip) Pratt: Would that be John Libby's? North of that?

Hugh Roszel: Yes.

Norval (Chip) Pratt: Is that correct? Yes. Okay, that would also be about 12 acres. There's a 24-acre total with my father-in-law's property there. And so, we're cutting in half basically.

Hugh Roszel: Okay. So lot #3 is going from approximately 3 ½ acres up to about 12 ½ acres, approximately.

Norval (Chip) Pratt: Yup,yup.

Hugh Roszel: Okay.

Robert Ridler: All right, are there any further comments or questions?

Anne Ferguson: Hugh, are you opening this up for public hearing?

Hugh Roszel: That's my question. I'd like to make a motion to open the public hearing.

Anne Ferguson: And I'll second it.

Robert Ridler: All in favor...

Sue Wightman: We're going to do a roll call vote, Bob.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes, I'm in favor.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Tom Clarke?

Thomas Clarke: Yes.

Hugh Roszel: I'd like to make a motion to close the public hearing.

John Langey: You should ask if anybody wants to speak first.

Hugh Roszel: Is there anyone else here who would like to speak?

Anne Ferguson: For or against?

Hugh Roszel: For or against this motion for the public hearing?

Hugh Roszel: Okay, so now I can make a motion to close the public hearing.

Thomas Clarke: I'll second.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers? Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Oh, I said yes.

Anne Ferguson: Dale?

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Thomas Clarke?

Thomas Clarke: Yes.

Robert Ridler: All right, do we have a motion to approve the application?

Hugh Roszel: So moved.

Anne Ferguson: A second.

John Langey: That's Hugh and Anne.

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Robert Ridler: All in favor?

John Langey: You got to do roll call on that one too, Bob.

Robert Ridler: Yep.

Anne Ferguson: It's going to be a long meeting.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Thomas Clarke?

Thomas Clarke: Yes.

Robert Ridler: All right. The next file will be Crawford - Albert and Michelle - #1192. I talked to the Builder the other day and there's no additional information regarding this file. Do I have a motion to continue?

Hugh Roszel: So moved.

Anne Ferguson: Second.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Here, yes.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Yes. Yes.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Thomas Clark?

Thomas Clarke: Yes.

Robert Ridler: All right, this file is continued. The next file will be #1270 - Michael Silberberg.

Bryan Wendel: Nothing new for this meeting. Move to continue.

Robert Ridler: There was an email that came out. I don't know if you saw that, Bryan.

Bryan Wendel: Yeah, I did. I just didn't know if we're going to talk about it at this meeting or the work session.

Robert Ridler: No, we don't need to.

Bryan Wendel: Okay, move to continue.

Thomas Clarke: I'll second.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Thomas Clarke?

Thomas Clarke: Yes.

Robert Ridler: All right the file's continued. The next file will be #1272 - Heidi Bianco.

Anne Ferguson: The applicant is not yet ready and has requested a continuance, so I move to continue the file.

Anne Ferguson: Looking for a second.

Hugh Roszel: Second.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Thomas Clarke?

Thomas Clarke: Yes.

Robert Ridler: All right, the next file on the agenda is #1273 - Claude and Suzanne Braun.

Jerry Munger: The Brauns are not going to be here tonight, however, unless there are any questions, I would like to move this to a public hearing.

John Langey: Okay. Can we also do SEQR on it tonight?

Jerry Munger: Yep.

John Langey: Okay, so why don't you let me go through the Short Form quickly. We'll have a motion on that and then Dale will follow up with a motion to move to it to public

hearing. So they've completed Part 1 of the Short EAF (Environmental Assessment Form). I'm going to run through the questions on Part 2 which I'm going to presume are either "no impact" or "small impact" for this action.

John Langey: You will be the Lead Agency. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? We'll say, "No." Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of land use? We'll say, "No." Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community? We'll say, "No." Will the proposed action have an impact on the Environmental Area? We'll say, "No." Will the proposed action result in adverse change an existing level of traffic or existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking, or walkways. We'll say, "No." Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities? We'll say, "No." Will the proposed action have an impact on public or private water supplies - no, public or private wastewater treatment facilities? We'll say, "No," Will the proposed action result in adverse change to natural resources? We'll say, "No" to that. Will the proposed action result in an increase in potential erosion, flooding, or drainage problems? We'll say "No" to that. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health? We're going to say, "No" to that. So, I've suggested we say, "No or small impact" to all of these; if anybody disagrees speak up now, or somebody can make a motion for a Negative Declaration.

Jerry Munger: So, I make a motion for a Negative Declaration.

John Langey: Who was that?

Jerry Munger: Jerry.

Bryan Wendel: Second, Bryan.

John Langey: Well, let's back that up for a second - is Gerry an alternate tonight?

Jerry Munger: No.

Anne Ferguson: Jerry Munger.

Dale Bowers: Jerry Munger.

John Langey: I'm sorry guys. Sorry Jerry. Yeah, I'm sorry, your picture didn't come up, Jerry. Sorry. Okay, so Jerry's on the motion. Who's the second?

Bryan Wendel: Bryan.

John Langey: I need a roll call on that.

Sue Wightman: So, is this just for the SEQR or is it for the SEQR and the public hearing together?

John Langey: It's up to you guys. You can do it that way - saves time.

Jerry Munger: Do them both.

Sue Wightman: Okay - yeah. Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Thomas Clarke?

Thomas Clarke: Yes.

Robert Ridler: Okay. File application has been moved to a public hearing.

Sue Wightman: John, do we need a motion to continue the file?

John Langey: I think that was, oh to continue it? Yeah I suppose you can; I don't know if you need a roll call on that I suppose. I don't think anybody's going to sue over that. Don't worry.

John Langey: So yeah, somebody just say it's...

Anne Ferguson: How about Jerry.

Jerry Munger: Yeah, let's move it to a... I'm sorry, continue the file.

Robert Ridler: All right, the next file will be number #1275 - Carla and Michael Fitzgerald. Dale.

Dale Bowers: Okay, the Fitzgeralds are wanting to build a house and so they're in for a site plan review, and this house is right next to our esteemed Supervisor. I spoke with the Fitzgeralds and I don't see anything... issue with the file. The only thing I said in the work session was that I questioned whether or not the garage was too... was in front of the house, which it isn't. I've talked to Roger about it and he is aware of that as are Fitzgeralds. I also mentioned about the drainage. And having, since I mentioned that, I drove by the site and looked a little closer and saw that there is a the nice little gully in between the two properties, so that there would be no issue of having any drainage

from the hill coming over and affecting the neighbor's property. So, unless the Fitzgeralds have anything they want to add.

Michael Fitzgerald: Now... thank you for putting us on agenda. We're just happy to be moving along and looking forward to moving to the Town of Cazenovia.

John Langey: Dale, this is a Type 2, so we're not going to go through the SEQR points.

Dale Bowers: That would be lovely. So, unless the board has any other questions, I would move to approve.

Thomas Clarke: I'll second.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Tom Clarke?

Thomas Clarke: Yes.

Robert Ridler: Okay.

Robert Ridler: The next file will be #1277 - Timothy and Heather Ryan/John and Abigail Alley. This is Tom's file.

Thomas Clarke: The Ryans and the Alleys are requesting a line change for the properties on East Road, and I believe Heather is participating in this meeting tonight. Heather, are you there?

Heather Ryan: I am.

Thomas Clarke: Would you please explain to the Board your reason for the line change?

Heather Ryan: We would like to move just the property line on the north of our property about 20 to 25 feet just to give us room to build an attached garage and still have the setback requirements, the 25 feet setback requirements.

Thomas Clarke: Okay, and it showed a well. Are you going to dig a new well too on this, on the site?

Heather Ryan: Yes, that also, yeah.

Thomas Clarke: And do you plan on building the garage this year?

Heather Ryan: Hopefully.

Thomas Clarke: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Heather. Since this line change is within 500 feet of two historic properties, we'll need Lead Agency approval from SHPO. So I'd like to make a motion requesting Lead Agency designation on this file from SHPO.

John Langey: So that's a good motion, Tom. And that's going to include the Town of Cazenovia Planning Board shall be Lead Agency. This is a Type One Action for purposes of SEQR review and that SHPO will be the involved Agency for this. Roger, I don't think there's any other Involved or Interested Agent in this one?

Roger Cook: I don't believe so, John.

John Langey: Okay, I'll prepare the necessary Resolution and do the transmittal to SHPO.

Anne Ferguson: Tom, would you clarify if they're coming in for approval of the garage as well?

Thomas Clarke: No, I was going to bring that up. No, they haven't done anything on that yet, and I was going to bring that up in a few minutes. So, John, are we all set with that motion?

John Langey: Yes. Yes. So, your motion's been laid out. We.. We need someone to make the initial motion and second it.

Sue Wightman: Tom made the motion.

John Langey: Oh, I'm sorry, yeah, Tom made it, who's the second?

Jerry Munger: I'll second it.

John Langey: Thank you.

Anne Ferguson: I guess my question would be though, if they come back for the garage, are we going to have to go through SHPO and... again and would they, the applicant, have to go through this process again?

John Langey: Is there an application on the garage?

Anne Ferguson: When they come...they are not doing it now. When they come back in to do the garage, are they going to have to go through SHPO and the whole...

John Langey: Let me ...that's a good question, Anne, let me work on that. Maybe what we'll be able to do is reaffirm that, we'll have to see, although this may be... the SHPO wants to see what it's going to be. It's hard for hard for me to say.

Thomas Clarke: Hey John, can they start the site plan review paperwork now, even though the line change hasn't been approved?

John Langey: Okay with me. If you wanted to amend that to go with site plan, and...and for the line change. The only thing is, when I mail everything to SHPO I have to have the paperwork on... on the site plan. Are we in a position to turn that over?

Anne Ferguson: (Inaudible.) just want to minimize the application.

John Langey: I've got, I've got a site, I have a site plan in my in my file.

Thomas Clarke: Yes. We do have one that shows where the garage is going to be located.

John Langey: We could just send, we could probably send that. We're going to need an application for a site plan at some point. So, I mean, I'd like to have it sooner than the...

Thomas Clarke: So, Heather, if you can get the paperwork from Sue for a site plan review.

Heather Ryan: Okay.

Thomas Clarke: And get it back in as quickly as possible.

Heather Ryan: Absolutely.

Anne Ferguson: Along with what the garage is going to look like and where it would be located on the map.

Heather Ryan: We have a rough sketch from the architect. But that's all we have at this point and it should be included in the file. Yeah? Is that good enough for now?

John Langey: I've got the site plan, Anne, here. Shows the boundaries of the property, shows the location of structures, but I don't know if I have an elevation, Anne.

Hugh Roszel: Does it show the location of the garage?

Anne Ferguson: Right.

Thomas Clarke: It does show it.

Anne Ferguson: Is the garage, how far back from the road is the garage?

Dale Bowers: What would SHPO like for this?

John Langey: SHPO's not going to care about this, Dale.

Dale Bowers: All we need to do is, John needs to make sure that whatever SHPO is going to want is in the letter.

Anne Ferguson: Okay. So that does not necessarily mean that we've reviewed it or that we approve it. It's just a matter of getting in front of SHPO.

John Langey: Right. We should be able to do that - that should work out okay. Yeah, they can they can submit the rest of the document. Sue will post it and I'll forward it on to SHPO.

Thomas Clarke: Oh, one other thing on this file, it requires a Full Environmental Assessment Form, John.

John Langey: Yeah, so we have Part One which is what we needed for tonight. So, at the next meeting we'll go through Part Two assuming SHPO's - I'm sure they'll have gotten back to us. So, we'll complete SEQR at the next meeting. Assuming that SHPPO has got back to us, we can, otherwise we've got to wait for the 30 days to go by.

Thomas Clarke: Okay. Any other questions? Heather, do you have any other questions?

Heather Ryan: I guess just what exactly do I need to do? What's my list?

John Langey: You're going to, you're going to fill out a site plan application for the structure you're proposing to build. And you're going to provide that back to either Roger or Sue, and then they're going to get that to me, and I can send that out with the balance of your documentation to SHPO.

(It was determined 5/8/2020 that because this is not a newly created lot, no site plan application will be necessary)

Heather Ryan: Okay.

Thomas Clarke: And if any other questions come up, Heather, you can call Sue.

Heather Ryan: Okay.

Thomas Clarke: Okay, any other questions? I'll make a motion to.

Dale Bowers: Then we can move the line change to a hearing.

Thomas Clarke: Can we, can we do that without approval from SHPO?

John Langey: Yeah, yeah, you can move it, well yeah, you can move that to a public hearing, that's fine.

Thomas Clarke: If we can do that, I'll move it to a public hearing.

Anne Ferguson: Subject to SHPO's approval.

Dale Bowers: Second. Well, no, I mean we if we if we move it to a hearing and we don't get SHPO's approval, we just continue the hearing.

John Langey: Or, and Dale, they will probably complete, come right before ...(inaudible - multiple voices) I usually tell them when our hearing is and ask them to try to get us an answer before the hearing so I suspect that we'll get it.

Dale Bowers: Good.

Thomas Clarke: Is there a second?

Anne Ferguson: Great.

Sue Wightman: Can we have the second on that?

Sue Wightman: John, we did not do the roll call vote for the first motion.

Dale Bowers: Second.

Sue Wightman: Is that okay?

John Langey: Good good pick-up, Sue, I just noticed that, so all right, let's just pause. Let's go ahead and do the motion on the SEQR Type 1 Action the SHPO Lead Agency. So, I've got that motion was by Tom, seconded by Jerry. Now we just need that roll call vote to complete that.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Thomas Clarke?

Thomas Clarke: Yes.

Sue Wightman: So, John, should we do a roll call vote for the second motion now?

John Langey: Yeah, you can do that quickly. That's fine. This is to move it to a public hearing.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Thomas Clarke?

Thomas Clarke: Yes.

Robert Ridler: All right, any other action on this file?

Anne Ferguson: No.

Thomas Clarke: Thank you. Heather.

Gerald Rasmussen: Thank you so much.

Robert Ridler: All right the next file is #1278 - Christopher Ditrani. Hugh, that's your file.

Hugh Roszel: Yes. Matt is here representing the Ditrani residence. And they're proposing a new patio and minor grading with better access to the lake. And Matt, what have you got?

Matt Vredenburgh: You want me to split a screen to tell you?

Hugh Roszel: Please.

Matt Vredenburg: Can you see this? Hear this? Okay. This is what I presented at the work session. This is the existing conditions, this the back of the camp here, the photo down below. There's a stone porch off the back of the camp and some stepping stones that head off toward ... you can see the photos. This is the area where the patio - the wood patio - is proposed right here between the camp and the lake, and so it's going to be beyond the Critical Environmental Area. So, it doesn't affect that.

Matt Vredenburg: I will now switch to the...Can everyone see this?

Jerry Munger: Yep.

John Langey: Yep.

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Matt Vredenburg: This is the wood patio as proposed right off the back and some steps down to the grass. That's...and here's a little graded ramp down to the water that Hugh mentioned. At the work session we talked, I proposed, that we would exceed the impervious in Zone B from the existing to the proposed. Currently the impervious in Zone B is 2890 square feet or 23.4% because the camp is located in that zone; pretty low in the other zones, A, C ...and D, but it's far exceeds what's allowed in this Zone. So, I was told at the work session that we wouldn't be allowed to increase it further. We have to stay at that number. So, through a combination of things, I was able to get the proposed impervious down to the existing level. For one, we reduced the patio from 20' X 26' down to 16.9' X 26'.

Anne Ferguson: Great.

Matt Vredenburg: We are also removing the stone porch and steps that come off the back, of course, because they're going to be supplanted by the...And the stepping stones are going to go away that were in the lawn. And, of course, the big part of it is we're going to remove the end of the driveway that falls in this this this Zone. There's

about - it's about a 16-foot wide driveway. We're going to remove about the first ten feet or the last ten feet of it, so that amounts to 162 square feet. So, basically what we're doing is we're going to be removing the equivalent of 226 square feet of impervious and adding 226 square feet (recording hard to discern) so no net gain on that.

Anne Ferguson: Great.

Hugh Roszel: And then that completes all they want to do, is that correct, Matt?

Matt Vredenburg: That is the extent of it. There's something that the client wanted me to mention to Anne. She said, because Anne suggested that we potentially move the bunkhouse, but she said they couldn't move the bunkhouse because then Uncle John has too long a walk to the house at night as to go to the bathroom. I don't know what that means, but I suppose you do.

Anne Ferguson: Okay.

Matt Vredenburg: So. Anyways. Yeah.

John Langey: Hey, Matt, what is the final date of the plan, the last date of the plan?

Matt Vredenburg: This plan is dated May 6th. I have that. I've sent it to Sue digitally, so she has it now, and I can bring her a hard copy in for the file.

John Langey: May 6th.

Hugh Roszel: John, we don't need a SEQR on this do we?

John Langey: Yeah, we're going to do a quick one. Everybody ready?

Hugh Roszel: Yeah.

John Langey: All right, again same drill as before. I'm going to suggest that "no or small impact" and if somebody disagrees, stop me and we'll talk about it. Again, you'll be the Lead Agency for this Unlisted Action. One, will the proposed action create a

material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations? Suggest "No." Two, will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of the land? We'd say, "Small." Three, will the proposed action impair the character of quality the existing community? "No." Four, will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area? Say, "Small." Five, will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure, for example, like the walkways? "No." Six, will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation and renewable energy opportunity? "No." Seven, will the proposed action impact existing public or private water supplies, public or private wastewater treatment facilities? We'll say, "No," to both. Eight, will proposed action impair the character or quality of an important historic, archeological, architectural, or aesthetic resource? "No." Nine, will the proposed action result in adverse change in the natural resources? "No." Ten, will the proposed action result an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, or drainage problems? "No." Eleven, will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources? "No." We've answered "No" to all of these questions. I've checked the box for a Negative Declaration. Does anyone disagree with those "no or small impact" answers?

Robert Ridler: No.

John Langey: Okay. The only thing I wanted to make sure you guys at least mention on the record, is there any issue or concern about the lakefront with our Lakefront Development Guidelines, are we all good on that?

Anne Ferguson: They're not touching anything.

John Langey: Then... I just wanted that for the record. That's all. So, in that case, you guys prepared for a motion to approve the Negative Declaration as well as the site plan - the last revised plans as Matt has described them? And Matt, if you don't mind, if you can email me that last revised plan, I'd appreciate it.

Hugh Roszel: So moved.

Matt Vredenburgh: Yes Sir.

Anne Ferguson: I'll second.

Thomas Clarke: I'll second.

John Langey: Who was the second?

Thomas Clarke: Go ahead, Anne.

Anne Ferguson: Tom and me.

John Langey: Okay, so I got Hugh and Anne, right?

Anne Ferguson: Yeah.

Hugh Roszel: Yeah.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Robert Ridler: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Anne Ferguson?

Anne Ferguson: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Jerry Munger?

Jerry Munger: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Dale Bowers?

Dale Bowers: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Hugh Roszel?

Hugh Roszel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Bryan Wendel?

Bryan Wendel: Yes.

Sue Wightman: Tom Clarke?

Thomas Clarke: Yes.

Robert Ridler: All right. Dale, you're up.

Dale Bowers: What am I up for? Oh? Really? We ready for that?

John Langey: No, isn't there an informal?

Dale Bowers: Move to adjourn?

John Langey: Wait, is there an informal tonight or not?

Sue Wightman: No, there's not that was just ...

Anne Ferguson: That was at the work session.

John Langey: Why, I apologize. Sorry, Dale, didn't mean to step on that.

Hugh Roszel: Second.

Dale Bowers: Okay.

Sue Wightman: Who is the second?

Hugh Roszel: Thanks, everyone.

Thomas Clarke: I'll second.

Dale Bowers: Hugh.

Anne Ferguson: Hugh.

Sue Wightman: Robert Ridler?

Dale Bowers: Did anyone notice my sock tie? This is the Mackenzie Child's. I've always wanted to wear it.

Sue Wightman: John, do we need a roll call vote to adjourn?

John Langey: We're going to waive the roll call vote to adjourn.

Sue Wightman: Okay.

John Langey: But I'm glad, Dale, that's recorded for perpetuity - the sock guy.

Anne Ferguson: Thank you, Dale.

Dale Bowers: I would just like to reach out and congratulate the Chair on not having this meeting be hacked like the Village.

Anne Ferguson: By the way though, I keep getting signs that the internet connection is not stable. Is anyone else getting that?

John Langey: No.

Thomas Clarke: No.

Robert Ridler: No.

Gerald Rasmussen: I was.

John Langey: There was a little bit of an echo. That's all I heard.

Anne Ferguson: Garbled.

Robert Ridler: All right, I guess we're adjourned then, huh.

John Langey: Good job, everybody.

Robert Ridler: Very good.

Meeting Called to Order at 7:30 P.M.

Meeting Adjourned at 8:10 P.M.

Attendance: Robert Ridler, Chairman; Anne Ferguson, Member; Jerry Munger, Member; Dale Bowers, Member; Hugh Roszel, Member; Bryan Wendel, Member; Thomas Clarke, Member; Jon Vanderhoef, Alternate Member; Gerald Rasmussen, Alternate Member; Roger Cook; John Langey; John Dunkle; Don Ferlow; Michael Fitzgerald; Carla Fitzgerald; Heather Ryan; Matthew Vredenburgh; Kyle Reger

Respectfully submitted,

Sue Wightman

5/18/2020